

HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ANA LOPEZ DEMETRIO and FRANCISCO  
EUGENIO PAZ, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SAKUMA BROTHERS FARMS, INC.,

Defendant.

NO. 2:13-cv-01918-MJP

**ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT**

Note on Motion Calendar:  
November 14, 2014 at 10 a.m.

WHEREAS, on July 15, 2014, this Court entered its Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement, Directing Issuance of Class Notice, and Scheduling Fairness Hearing (Dkt. No. 31) (the "Preliminary Approval Order"); and

WHEREAS, individual notice complying with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure was sent to the last-known address of each member of the Settlement Class and follow-up notice procedures outlined in the Settlement Agreement and approved by the Preliminary Approval Order (Dkt. No. 31, ¶ 6) have been completed; and

WHEREAS, a fairness hearing on final approval of the settlement was held before the Court on November 14, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Court, being advised, finds that good cause exists for entry of the below Order; now, therefore,

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 1  
CASE NO. 2:13-cv-01918-MJP

TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC  
936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98103-8869  
TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.350.3528  
[www.tmdwlaw.com](http://www.tmdwlaw.com)

1 IT IS HEREBY FOUND, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

2 1. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms in this Order shall have  
3 the same meaning as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement and Release Between Plaintiffs  
4 and Defendant (Dkt. No. 27) (the “Settlement Agreement”) and/or Plaintiffs’ Motion for  
5 Preliminary Approval (Dkt. No. 26).

6 2. The Court finds that notice to the Settlement Class has been completed in  
7 conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order. The Court finds that this notice was the best  
8 notice practicable under the circumstances, that it provided due and adequate notice of the  
9 proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, and that it fully satisfied all applicable  
10 requirements of law and due process.

11 3. Pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court  
12 certifies for settlement purposes only the following Settlement Class: “All current and former  
13 migrant and seasonal employees of Sakuma who performed piece rate fruit harvest work for  
14 Sakuma in Washington at any time between October 13, 2010 and December 31, 2013.”

15 4. In connection with this conditional certification, the Court makes the following  
16 findings:

17 a. The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is  
18 impracticable;

19 b. There are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class for  
20 purposes of determining whether this settlement should be approved;

21 c. Plaintiffs’ claims are typical of the claims being resolved through the  
22 proposed settlement;

23 d. Plaintiffs are capable of fairly and adequately protecting the interests of  
24 the Settlement Class members in connection with the proposed settlement;

25 e. For purposes of determining whether the settlement is fair, reasonable  
26 and adequate, common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only

1 individual Settlement Class members. Accordingly, the Settlement Class is sufficiently  
2 cohesive to warrant settlement by representation; and

3 f. For purposes of settlement, certification of the Settlement Class is  
4 superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient settlement of the claims of the  
5 Settlement Class members.

6 5. The Court has appointed Ana Lopez Demetrio and Francisco Eugenio Paz as  
7 representatives of the Settlement Class.

8 6. The Court has appointed Marc Cote and Toby Marshall of Terrell Marshall  
9 Daudt & Willie PLLC and Daniel Ford and Sarah Leyrer of Columbia Legal Services as  
10 counsel for the Settlement Class.

11 7. No objections to the Settlement have been lodged.

12 8. The terms set forth in the Settlement are approved as being fair, adequate, and  
13 reasonable in light of the degree of recovery obtained in relation to the risks faced by the  
14 Settlement Class in litigating the claims. The Settlement Class is properly certified as part of  
15 this settlement. The relief provided to the Settlement Class under the Settlement Agreement is  
16 appropriate as to the individual members of the Settlement Class and as a whole.

17 9. The Court approves the payment of \$327,095.70 in attorneys' fees, \$9,141.95 in  
18 litigation costs, and \$7,762.35 in claims administration expenses to Class Counsel as fair and  
19 reasonable. The attorneys' fees are reasonable based on the lodestar method, which is the  
20 appropriate method of calculation in this case because Plaintiffs' central claims are brought  
21 under Washington statutes that contain attorney fee-shifting for successful recovery of wages  
22 (RCW 49.48.030, RCW 49.46.090, and RCW 49.52.070) and Plaintiffs also achieved  
23 significant injunctive relief for the Settlement Class. *See Laguna v. Coverall N. Am., Inc.*, 753  
24 F.3d 918, 922 (9th Cir. 2014). The Court reaches this conclusion after analyzing (1) the  
25 number of hours Class Counsel reasonably expended on the litigation multiplied by counsel's  
26 reasonable hourly rates; (2) the substantial financial recovery for Settlement Class members as

1 well as the significant injunctive relief that Class Counsel negotiated; (3) the diligent and  
 2 efficient effort utilized by Class Counsel in litigating Plaintiffs' claims; (4) Class Counsel's  
 3 substantial experience in wage and hour and complex litigation, and skill utilized to achieve the  
 4 Settlement; (5) the hurdles to certifying the Settlement Class and proving liability and damages  
 5 at trial; (6) the relationship between the amount of the fee requested and the excellent result  
 6 obtained for the Settlement Class; and (7) the reasonableness of the litigation costs and claims  
 7 administration expenses incurred by Class Counsel. Class Counsel reasonably expended more  
 8 than 1508 hours on this litigation, not including hours spent on the notice and claims  
 9 administration process and post-settlement work on the remaining claims for declaratory relief  
 10 on the issue of rest break compensation for Sakuma's piece rate employees on a going-forward  
 11 basis. Class Counsel's hourly rates—\$300 for Marc Cote, \$275 for Sarah Leyrer, \$400 for  
 12 Toby Marshall, and \$375 for Dan Ford—are reasonable hourly rates considering these  
 13 attorneys' "experience, skill and reputation," *see Trevino v. Gates*, 99 F.3d 911, 924 (9th Cir.  
 14 1996) (quoting *Schwarz v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 73 F.3d 895, 908 (9th Cir.1995)),  
 15 and considering "the prevailing market rates" in Seattle. *See Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886,  
 16 895 (1984). Applying these reasonable hourly rates to 1508 hours reasonably expended in this  
 17 litigation, Class Counsel's lodestar is \$389,575.00. The \$327,095.70 attorneys' fee award is  
 18 substantially less than Class Counsel's lodestar, and thus, is reasonable.

19       10. The Court approves the incentive payments of \$3,000 each to Plaintiffs Ana  
 20 Lopez Demetrio and Francisco Eugenio Paz. These awards reasonably compensate Plaintiffs  
 21 for their time and effort in stepping forward to serve as proposed class representatives, assisting  
 22 in the investigation, keeping abreast of the litigation, being deposed, and meeting and  
 23 communicating with Class Counsel on an ongoing basis regarding the progress of the litigation,  
 24 including participation in mediation.

25  
 26

1       11. The Settlement Agreement is binding on all Settlement Class Members except  
 2 for the two Settlement Class Members who opted out of the Settlement Agreement with a valid  
 3 Exclusion Request.

4       12. Class Counsel received 408 valid and timely claim forms, including three valid  
 5 claim forms received one day after the claims deadline, which the Court includes in the total  
 6 under its equity powers. Each of these Qualified Claimants shall be entitled to receive a pro  
 7 rata share of the Settlement Fund as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. In the event that  
 8 any non-wage portion of the Settlement Fund has not been disbursed as provided for in the  
 9 Settlement Agreement after a period of one hundred twenty (120) calendar days from the date  
 10 on which the disbursement checks to Qualified Claimants were issued by the Settlement  
 11 Administrator, then the Settlement Administrator shall disburse the remaining amounts of non-  
 12 wage funds to the Northwest Justice Project (“NJP”), with a request that any such funds be  
 13 earmarked by NJP for assistance to agricultural workers. The work of NJP benefits people  
 14 who, like Settlement Class members, may require legal assistance regarding unlawful wage  
 15 practices, and their work therefore serves “the objectives of the underlying statutes[] and the  
 16 interests of the silent class members . . . .” *Lane v. Facebook, Inc.*, 696 F.3d 811, 819-20 (9th  
 17 Cir. 2012) (internal quotation omitted). If any Qualified Claimants fail to cash wage award  
 18 checks within one year of distribution, Sakuma must report and deliver such funds to the state  
 19 Department of Revenue pursuant to RCW 63.29.

20       13. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, upon final approval by the  
 21 Court, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class Members, will release will  
 22 release, to the extent permitted by law, Sakuma Brothers Farms, Inc., from any and all claims  
 23 for alleged wage and hour violations asserted in the Operative Complaint, including claims  
 24 under WAC 296-131-020, RCW 49.46.090, RCW 49.46.070, WAC 296-131-015, WAC 296-  
 25 131-017, WAC 296-128-010, RCW 49.52.050, 29 U.S.C. § 1822(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1832(a), 29  
 26 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1831(c)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1831(c)(1),

1 29 U.S.C. § 1822(c), 29 U.S.C. § 1832(c)), that arose between October 23, 2010 and December  
 2 31, 2013. This release does not include (1) Plaintiffs and class members' claims for declaratory  
 3 relief on the issue of whether Sakuma must pay for the time piece rate workers spend in rest  
 4 breaks under WAC 296-131-020(2) and the MWA on a going-forward basis in 2014  
 5 ("Unreleased Claim for Declaratory Relief"); (2) any claim arising out of the Crop Assignment,  
 6 promissory note, and confession of judgment Sakuma will provide as security for its payment  
 7 obligations under this Settlement Agreement; and (3) any claim for retaliation for participating  
 8 in this class action lawsuit or benefitting from the Agreed Injunctive Relief.

9       14. Neither this Order nor any aspect of the Settlement Agreement is to be construed  
 10 or deemed an admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of  
 11 Defendant. Defendant specifically denies any liability. Each of the Parties to the settlement  
 12 entered into the Settlement Agreement with the intention to avoid further disputes and litigation  
 13 with the attendant inconvenience and expenses.

14       15. Without affecting the finality of this Order, or the judgment to be entered  
 15 pursuant hereto, in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction over the claims against Defendants  
 16 for purposes of addressing: (1) any disputes arising from the Settlement Agreement; (2)  
 17 settlement administration matters; (3) such post-judgment matters as may be appropriate under  
 18 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and (4) Plaintiffs' and class members' claims for  
 19 declaratory relief on the issue of whether Sakuma must provide pay for the time piece-rate  
 20 workers spend in rest breaks under WAC 296-131-020(2) and/or the Washington Minimum  
 21 Wage Act on a going-forward basis. The Settlement and this Final Approval Order do not  
 22 resolve, and Plaintiffs and class members do not release, such claims for declaratory relief.  
 23 This Court entered orders certifying to the Washington Supreme Court two questions relating  
 24 to separate pay for the time piece-rate workers spend in rest breaks. Dkt. 42, 44. This Court  
 25 retains jurisdiction to address any issues or motions arising after the Washington Supreme  
 26 Court issues an opinion regarding the certified questions.

16. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d), this order will be effective as of December 21, 2014, the expiration date for the ninety-day Class Action Fairness Act notice period, if no objections or requests for hearing are received from the relevant federal or state officials on or before that date.

17. On December 22, 2014, if no objections or requests for hearing have been received from the relevant federal or state officials pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d), the Clerk shall enter a judgment certifying the Settlement Class and finally approving the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> Oct day of October, 2014.

Honorable Marsha J. Pechman  
United States Magistrate Judge

Presented by:

TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC

By: /s/ Marc C. Cote, WSBA #39824  
Toby J. Marshall, WSBA #32726  
Email: tmarshall@tmdwlaw.com  
Marc C. Cote, WSBA #39824  
Email: mcote@tmdwlaw.com  
Beau C. Haynes, WSBA #44240  
Email: bhaynes@tmdwlaw.com  
936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98103  
Telephone: (206) 816-6603  
Facsimile: (206) 350-3528

COLUMBIA LEGAL SERVICES

By: /s/ Sarah Leyrer, WSBA #38311

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 7  
CASE NO. 2:13-cv-01918-MJP

**TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC**  
936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98103-8869  
TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.350.3528  
[terrell@daudt.com](mailto:terrell@daudt.com)

1 Daniel G. Ford, WSBA #10903  
2 Email: dan.ford@columbialegal.org  
3 Sarah Leyrer, WSBA #38311  
4 Email: sarah.leyrer@columbialegal.org  
5 101 Yesler Way, Suite 300  
6 Seattle, Washington 98104  
7 Telephone: (206) 464-5936  
8 Facsimile: (206) 382-3386

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Marc C. Cote, hereby certify that on November 13, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Adam S. Belzberg  
Email: abelzberg@grahamdunn.com  
GRAHAM & DUNN  
Pier 70  
2801 Alaskan Way, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98121-1128  
Telephone: (206) 340-9654  
Facsimile: (206) 340-9599

*Attorney for Defendants*

DATED this 13th day of November, 2014.

## TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC

By: /s/ Marc C. Cote, WSBA #39824  
Marc C. Cote, WSBA #39824  
Email: mcote@tmdwlaw.com  
936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98103  
Telephone: (206) 816-6603  
Facsimile: (206) 350-3528

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class*